

BLM Eagle Lake Field Office

Comments submitted by the OHMVR Division to individual grant applicants should in no way be construed as a guarantee of successful results for the applicant within the competitive grants process or a commitment of funding. Additionally, the lack of comments by the OHV Division to any specific applicant does not ensure successful results for the applicant within the competitive grant process or a commitment of funding.

All final applications will be reviewed by the OHMVR Division. The OHMVR Division may, at its sole discretion, decrease the requested amount and eliminate activities pursuant with regulation Section 4970.07.2 (f)(1-4) and for law enforcement projects, regulation Section 4970.15.3(b)(1-5).

Please note: If multiple proposed projects are requesting funding for the same deliverable, and multiple projects are successful, only one project will receive funding for the deliverable.

General Evaluation Criteria

- #4 – Selection appears to be incorrect as applicant was funded during the 2008/09 grant cycle. Applicant may want to verify.
- #5 – Selection does not support the information received by Division. Applicant may want to verify.
- #8 – Narrative does not support the response. Applicant may want to provide additional details.
- #13 - Narrative does not support the response. Applicant may want to provide additional details.

Ground Operations

G09-01-08-G01

Project Description

- No comment.

Project Cost Estimate

- #1 – Law Enforcement activities are inappropriate with Ground Operations projects. Applicant may want to verify and adjust as necessary.
- #4 – Equipment Use Expense, vehicle mileage and maintenance – The rate listed appears high. Costs associated with Equipment acquired with Grantee's funds and used for an OHV Project shall be charged on a use basis in accordance with the Applicant's local fair market rental rates but shall never exceed the Grantee's actual costs.
- #6 – Division is unclear with "Per diem M&IE". Applicant may want to define and provide further details to justify need.

Evaluation Criteria

- #4 – The narrative does not support response for “Publicly noticed meeting(s) with the general public to discuss Project”. The applicant may want to provide additional details.
- #6 – The project does not appear to be providing a bridge instead of wet crossings. Applicant may want to verify and/or provide additional details.
- #8 – The narrative does not support the response. Applicant may want to provide additional details.

Acquisition	G09-01-08-A01
Project Description	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No comment. 	
Project Cost Estimate	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • #4 – Equipment Use Expense, vehicle mileage and maintenance – The rate listed appears high. Costs associated with Equipment acquired with Grantee's funds and used for an OHV Project shall be charged on a use basis in accordance with the Applicant's local fair market rental rates but shall never exceed the Grantee's actual costs. 	
Evaluation Criteria	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • #4 – The narrative does not support the response. Applicant may want to reference an approved budget line item supporting future funding. 	

Law Enforcement	G09-01-08-L01
Needs Assessment	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No comment. 	
Project Certification	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No comment. 	
Project Cost Estimate	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • #3 – Applicant may want to provide additional detail on 'Safety Equipment' costs. • #6 – Applicant may want to provide additional detail on 'Recon Flight' costs, such as number of flight hours, fixed wing or helicopter, etc. 	